This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/835,780	04/12/2001	Domingo Figueras Payas	2136/0J098 9468		
7	590 08/01/2002				
DARBY & DARBY P.C.		[EXAMINER		
805 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022			CULLER, JILL E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2854		
		ı	DATE MAILED: 08/01/2002	!	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/835,780		FIGUERAS PAYAS, DOMINGO		
		Examin r		Art Unit	_	
	•	Jill Culler		2854		
7	h MAILING DATE of this communicati		ov rsheet with the c	I = · .	_	
Period for F						
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the peri - If NO per - Failure to - Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT is of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicated for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day tood for reply is specified above, the maximum statutom reply within the set or extended period for reply will, is received by the Office later than three months after the stent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, ation. ys, a reply within the statutory y period will apply and will expy statute, cause the applicate.	however, may a reply be tim y minimum of thirty (30) day: pire SIX (6) MONTHS from ion to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
<i>'</i>	esponsive to communication(s) filed of					
<i>′</i> —	•	★ This action is no				
	ince this application is in condition for osed in accordance with the practice of Claims					
· _	aim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation				
,	Of the above claim(s) is/are w		deration.			
	aim(s) is/are allowed.	minutarii ironi oono.	a oracioni			
	aim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.					
· · · · ·	aim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	aim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requ	uirement.			
Application		4				
9)[] The	e specification is objected to by the Ex	caminer.				
10)⊠ The	drawing(s) filed on <u>12 April 2001</u> is/a	ire: a)⊡ accepted or l	b)⊠ objected to by tl	he Examiner.		
Д	pplicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) 🗌 The	proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ appr	oved b)∐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.		
If	approved, corrected drawings are require	ed in reply to this Office	e action.			
12) 🔲 The	e oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.				
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Ac	knowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)	All b)☐ Some * c)⊠ None of:					
1.[1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2.[2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internatio the attached detailed Office action fo	nal Bureau (PCT Ru	le 17.2(a)).	-		
	nowledgment is made of a claim for de		·			
,	_					
15) Ack] The translation of the foreign langua nowledgment is made of a claim for d					
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper		= '	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 2854

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the methods of displacing sheets for printing off center text as described in claims 6-8 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 3 and 6-8 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 3 recites the limitation "externally operated electronic controls" in line 4.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "the free edge strip" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "the reference edge of the table carrying the sheet" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 8 recites the limitation "the off-centre arrangement of the edge" in lines 2-

3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2854

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 4. Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It is not clear what form the base for carrying paper takes, for example, whether it is feeding the sheets to the printing apparatus or supporting the sheets during the printing process. Since the scope of the claim cannot be reasonably determined, prior art cannot be applied to the claims to evaluate whether or not it is patentable.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims1-2, and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to Claim 1, the phrase "of the type" is indefinite because it is not clear what structures are covered by "of the type".

With respect to Claim 2, it is not clear what is meant by "standard size" since what is 'standard' may be different to different people.

Art Unit: 2854

Claim 6 recites the limitation "the base carrying the sheet" in line 3. Claim 7 recites the limitation "said base" in line 4. Claim 8 recites the limitation "the base carrying the sheets" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims and it is unclear what this structure is referring to.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 452,933 to Feister in view of EPO 0895183 and U.S. Patent No. 3,593,987 to Garber.

Feister shows a printing and binding machine for the preparation of books which includes unrolling a sheet of paper and cutting it prior to printing, see lines 22-24, then printing on one side of the sheet and then the other, see lines 24-26, then folding the sheets about an axis of symmetry, see lines 33-34, and trimming the sheets, 34-35, to finish the text.

Feister does not teach an electronic printing machine having a memory for the content of the texts of the various pages and electronically controllable means for determining the surface area occupied by the text and the parameters defining the text.

or printing the text symmetrically, varying the extent of the body of text for each page in accordance with the dimensions provided. Feister also does not discuss cutting the sheets at the outer edges or joining and binding the sets of sheets. Feister does not discuss that the adjustment of the parameters determining the texts and the arrangement on the sheet is effected by externally operated electronic controls of the printer without stopping the continuous process of manufacture. Feister also does not teach that each sheet is printed in an off-center manner to produce a lateral edge strip which is parallel with one of the edges.

EPO 0895183 teaches an electronic printing machine, having a memory for the content of the texts of the various pages and electronically controllable means for determining the surface area occupied by the text and the parameters defining the text, and teaches that the text is printed symmetrically, varying the extent of the body of text for each page in accordance with the dimensions provided, and that the adjustment of the parameters determining the texts and the arrangement on the sheet is effected by externally operated controls of the printer without stopping the continuous process of manufacture and that each sheet is printed in an off-center manner to produce a lateral edge strip which is parallel with one of the edges.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the printing machine of Feister with the electronic controls of EPO 0895183 in order to have better control over the printing process and the distribution of the text on the sheets.

Art Unit: 2854

Garber teaches a printing process in which the sheets are folded to form signatures, see column 3, lines 70-72, then a plurality of these signatures are bound together and trimmed. See column 4, lines 33-35.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the printing machine of Feister to fold before binding since Garber teaches that this is an advantageous method of creating a book.

With respect to claim 2, any sheet may be considered to be standard size for a particular printing apparatus or process.

With respect to claim 4, the claimed product results from the process as already discussed.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jill Culler whose telephone number is (703) 308-1413. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached on (703) 305-6619. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

Art Unit: 2854

Page 7

308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

jec

July 26, 2002

ANDREW H. HIRSHFELD

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800